

This Provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

Prospective randomized trial of iliohypogastric-ilioinguinal nerve block on post-operative morphine use after inpatient surgery of the female reproductive tract

Journal of Negative Results in BioMedicine 2008, **7**:11 doi:10.1186/1477-5751-7-11

Salim A Wehbe (salimwehbemd@yahoo.com)
Labib M Ghulmiyyah (lg08@aub.edu.lb)
El-Khawand H Dominique (dominique_khawand@yahoo.com)
Sarah L Hosford (sarahhosford@bellsouth.net)
Carole M Ehleben (cmecear@msn.com)
Steven L Saltzman (steven.saltzman2@tenethealth.com)
Eric Scott Sills (drscottsills@sims.ie)

ISSN 1477-5751

Article type Research

Submission date 18 August 2008

Acceptance date 28 November 2008

Publication date 28 November 2008

Article URL <http://www.jnrbm.com/content/7/1/11>

This peer-reviewed article was published immediately upon acceptance. It can be downloaded, printed and distributed freely for any purposes (see copyright notice below).

Articles in *JNRBM* are listed in PubMed and archived at PubMed Central.

For information about publishing your research in *JNRBM* or any BioMed Central journal, go to

<http://www.jnrbm.com/info/instructions/>

For information about other BioMed Central publications go to

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/>

© 2008 Wehbe *et al.*, licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Prospective randomized trial of iliohypogastric-ilioinguinal nerve block on post-operative morphine use after inpatient surgery of the female reproductive tract

Salim A. Wehbe¹ ✉ salimwehbemd@yahoo.com

Labib M. Ghulmiyyah² lg08@aub.edu.lb

El-Khawand H. Dominique³ dominique_khawand@yahoo.com

Sarah L. Hosford¹ sarahhosford@bellsouth.net

Carole M. Ehleben¹ cmecear@msn.com

Steven L. Saltzman¹ steven.saltzman2@tenethealth.com

Eric Scott Sills⁴ drscottsills@sims.ie

¹Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Atlanta Medical Center, Atlanta, Georgia USA

²Maternal-Fetal Medicine Division, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, American University of Beirut Medical Center; Beirut, Lebanon

³Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, School of Medicine, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, Louisiana USA

⁴The Sims Institute/Sims International Fertility Clinic, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, School of Medicine, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland; Dublin, Ireland

✉ Corresponding Author: Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence RI 02912 USA

Email: salimwehbemd@yahoo.com Fax: +401 235-6895

Abstract

Objective: To determine the impact of pre-operative and intra-operative ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block on post-operative analgesic utilization and length of stay (LOS). **Methods:** We conducted a prospective randomized double-blind placebo controlled trial to assess effectiveness of ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric nerve block (IINB) on post-operative morphine consumption in female study patients ($n=60$). Patients undergoing laparotomy via Pfannenstiel incision received injection of either 0.5% bupivacaine + 5mcg/ml epinephrine for IINB (Group I, $n=28$) or saline of equivalent volume given to the same site (Group II, $n=32$). All injections were placed before the skin incision and after closure of rectus fascia via direct infiltration. Measured outcomes were post-operative morphine consumption (and associated side-effects), visual analogue pain scores, and hospital length of stay (LOS). **Results:** No difference in morphine use was observed between the two groups (47.3mg in Group I vs. 45.9mg in Group II; $p=0.85$). There was a trend toward lower pain scores after surgery in Group I, but this was not statistically significant. The mean time to initiate oral narcotics was also similar, 23.3h in Group I and 22.8h in Group II ($p=0.7$). LOS was somewhat shorter in Group I compared to Group II, but this difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.8$). Side-effects occurred with similar frequency in both study groups. **Conclusion:** In this population of patients undergoing inpatient surgery of the female reproductive tract, utilization of post-operative narcotics was not significantly influenced by IINB. Pain scores and LOS were also apparently unaffected by IINB, indicating a need for additional properly controlled prospective studies to identify alternative methods to optimize post-surgical pain management and reduce LOS.

Introduction

In current surgical practice, laparotomy performed through a Pfannensteil incision is one of the most common operations involving the female abdomen [1]; effective post-operative analgesia is essential in such cases. The advent of various multimodal analgesia techniques has greatly facilitated the management of postoperative pain [2,3], and i.v. morphine has emerged as the most widely used and cost-effective agent. Augmentation of i.v. analgesia has been achieved with regional nerve blockade, particularly for patients undergoing hysterectomy [4] or Cesarean delivery [5]. However, the potential role for combined ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric nerve block in the setting of less complicated gynecologic procedures remains unclear.

Since others have studied preincisional and post-operative analgesia with placebo (saline) controls to examine either standard nerve block or direct infiltration of the surgical site [6], we speculated that a multi-stage nerve block (where epinephrine is added to bupivacaine) might offer reduced untoward effects of narcotics, earlier mobilization and shorter post-operative hospitalization. Therefore, our prospective investigation sought to assess combined preincisional and intraoperative/preclosure analgesia with bupivacaine + epinephrine against placebo in a study population of female patients undergoing laparotomy via Pfannensteil incision.

Methods

Subjects and randomization

The investigation enrolled patients during a ten-month period ending May 2005 at Atlanta Medical Center, a large urban teaching affiliate of the Medical College of Georgia, after institutional review board approval. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants who were randomized as shown in Figure 1. All patients underwent laparotomy via Pfannensteil incision for gynecologic indications summarized in Table 1. Patients were excluded if they reported an allergy to local anesthetics or peptic ulcer disease, renal or liver disease, progressive neurological condition, infection at planned site of the IINB, or history of substance abuse. No patients receiving spinal or epidural anesthesia were enrolled. All patients had standardized preoperative and postoperative orders; no oral or intravenous analgesics were administered preoperatively. Standard general endotracheal anesthesia was performed under supervision of an attending anesthesiologist. Fentanyl was the only analgesic to be used during surgery, with the final dose being given ≥ 30 min before the end of the procedure.

Postoperative intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) was provided for all study patients with basal morphine sulfate rate set at 2 mg. Lockout interval was six minutes, maximum morphine dose was established at 12mg/h and there was no loading dose. Additionally, study patients received i.v. ketorolac (30 mg) every 6h x 48h.

A random number table was used by medical center pharmacy staff to assign study patients to receive either 0.5 % bupivacaine + 5 mcg/ml epinephrine (1:200,000) or saline solution (both were clear liquids of equal volume), provided in identical-appearing pre-filled syringes. Content of the syringes used in this study could not be ascertained from labeling, and was registered only by numerical code secured in the pharmacy.

Nerve block technique

Bilateral ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block (IINB) was placed by the surgeon in a two-stage fashion: the first component was administered 5min before initial skin incision via 20-gauge needle (Stimuplex® STIM-A150, B.Braun Medical Inc.; Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18018 USA) with injection at the point 2.5cm medial to the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) and 1cm cephalad toward a reference line connecting umbilicus and ASIS [5]. The blunt portion of the needle permitted identification of fascia and served to push away peripheral nerves present in the loose connective tissue between muscle layers. The needle was advanced until a loss of resistance was perceived upon piercing external oblique fascia. After a negative aspiration test, an injection (4ml) was carried out in a fanlike manner, interstitial to external and internal oblique muscle layers. This same technique was next used to deliver another 4ml of solution between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles.

The second component of the IINB was administered by injecting 8ml of the same solution after fascial closure (using the same needle described above, at a 45° angle) to a point 2.5cm medial to the ASIS. 4ml of solution was injected between external and

internal oblique muscle, and 4ml of solution was placed between internal oblique and transversus abdominis mm., both in a fanlike pattern.

Post-operative evaluation

Post-operative pain intensity was evaluated by a visual analogue score (VAS), where 0=no pain to 10=maximum/intolerable pain. Pain scores were registered at 2h intervals by nursing staff until PCA was discontinued.

Morphine was given (up to 12mg, as bolus) until patients were comfortable and VAS score was <3. Supplementary i.v. fentanyl was provided for refractory pain. Total cumulative dose of i.v. morphine sulfate from PCA was measured, and nausea, emesis and pruritus at 6, 24 and 48h post-operatively were also recorded. Study patients' overall satisfaction with postsurgical pain management was reported as "1" if satisfied and as "2" if not satisfied.

Statistical analysis

Two sided Student's *t*-test was used to compare mean data from the two groups, including those where dichotomous data were gathered [7]. Differences with $p < 0.05$ were considered significant.

Results

A total of 61 patients were initially recruited, with 29 randomized to the bupivacaine group (Group I) and 32 to the saline (placebo) group (Group II). Patient age, body mass index, preoperative ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) class, and total operative duration were comparable between the two groups as shown in Table 2. One study patient in Group I was excluded because she was given a nonstandard, unapproved analgesic.

Table 2 shows mean time to initiate oral analgesics was 22.8h for Group II vs. 23.3h for Group I ($p= 0.73$), and average LOS for these two groups was 49.4h hours and 48.5h, respectively ($p= 0.81$). VAS for post-operative pain was similar between the two groups when pain intensity score was assessed by nurses (Table 3). The average quantity of morphine SO_4 used in PACU was also similar among study patients as depicted in Table 4, irrespective of IINB (7.8mg in Group I vs. 8.4mg in Group II; $p=0.52$). Additionally, PCA utilization and total morphine SO_4 consumption was similar (47.3 in Group I vs. 45.9mg in Group II; $p=0.85$). When PCA use was stratified by post-surgical interval, the two study groups showed a consistent pattern of morphine SO_4 consumption. Specifically, comparisons of PCA use in the first 8h after surgery, the interval 8-16h after surgery, and the interval 16-24h after surgery revealed no significant differences between groups ($p=0.88, 0.93, \text{ and } 0.53$ respectively). Mean time until PCA discontinuation was also similar between the two groups (27.3h in Group I vs. 24.9h in Group II; $p=0.09$). In PACU, three patients in the placebo arm (Group II) requested fentanyl in addition to morphine for pain control, while none in Group II required supplementation (data not

shown). No differences were reported in itching, nausea, or vomiting between the two groups and both groups indicated an equivalent level of satisfaction with post-operative pain management (Table 5).

Discussion

Pain after surgery has both somatic and visceral components and can be effectively relieved with neuraxial or systemic narcotics [4]. Somatic (cutaneous) pain generated from a Pfannensteil incision is principally conducted by the iliohypogastric and ilioinguinal nerves supplying afferent coverage to the L1-2 dermatome [8]. Suboptimal analgesia accounts for considerable patient dissatisfaction, prolonged LOS, and delayed return to normal daily activity. Post-operative wound pain may be reduced by infiltration of local anesthetic into the wound before closure [9-11]. Others have found preemptive local anesthetic nerve block to be useful in reducing post operative pain in both minimally invasive surgery and “open” laparotomy cases [12-17]. Our study enrolled women undergoing laparotomy for selected gynecologic indications and prospectively evaluated the efficacy of a dual-stage IINB comprising a preemptive and pre-closure component in this population.

A related study [18] involving hysterectomy patients observed a >50% decrease in morphine consumption in the initial 48h after surgery when simple ilioinguinal block was performed. In that population, no significant difference in pain scores was seen when nerve block patients were compared to controls, a finding in agreement with our VAS data reported here.

Because decreased postoperative pain has been reported to result from infiltration given preoperatively or from infiltration nerve block before the end of the procedure [19-22], we hypothesized that a combination of both methods including a preemptive and an

intraoperative preclosure infiltration would yield superior postoperative pain control. Indeed, our study tested a 30ml (total volume) bupivacaine + epinephrine solution for more prolonged effect. Our investigation, however, did not identify a statistically significant difference in PCA morphine pump use among patients receiving saline controls or IINB. This finding was comparable to data reported among Cesarean delivery [23] and herniorrhaphy patients [24], where postoperative morphine use was not modified by administration of a one-stage, single-site injection.

A possible explanation for these observations may be found in the details of the surgeries studied. For example, the different post-operative analgesia requirements after Cesarean delivery [25] may be related to different pain modalities associated with that surgery, where somatic nociception predominates (*i.e.*, less visceroperitoneal stimulus). Thus, efficacy of preemptive anesthesia may depend on the type of procedure performed as suggested by Aïda *et al* [26], where it had little impact when done before gastrectomy, appendectomy or hysterectomy.

Although this is the first randomized placebo-controlled evaluation of the effect of combined preemptive and preclosure IINB in gynecologic surgery through a Pfannenstiel skin incision, it has some important limitations which must be noted. While our study was not powered to determine the minimum number of patients required to minimize Type II error, our sample size was influenced by an earlier investigation of 40 hysterectomy patients which was sufficient to detect a significant difference in postoperative morphine use as well as pain measured by VAS [4]. Data from the present

research was not able to reproduce this finding, however, despite the increased sampling in our study. Additionally, IINB was not performed by the same surgeon thereby introducing some operator variability. Further prospective studies incorporating larger patient numbers are planned at our institutions to refine the role of IINB in pain control following gynecologic surgery.

In conclusion, data from this population do not support a clinically important role for two-stage IINB after some inpatient gynecologic procedures. Additional studies with larger sampling to better characterize post-operative pain management are planned at our institutions.

Authors' contributions

SAW, LMG and EHD collected patient data and performed the surgeries; SLH and SLS supervised the research; CME designed the study and provided statistical analysis; ESS coordinated the study and drafted the manuscripts.

Conflict of interest

None reported.

References

1. Norwitz ER, Schorge JO. *Obstetrics and Gynecology at a Glance*. Blackwell Publishing, London (2001):23.
2. Elia N, Lysakowski C, Tramer MR. Does multimodal analgesia with acetaminophen, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, or selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors and patient-controlled analgesia morphine offer advantages over morphine alone? Meta-analyses of randomized trials. *Anesthesiology* 2005;103:1296–304.
3. White PF. The changing role of non-opioid analgesic techniques in the management of postoperative pain. *Anesth Analg* 2005;101:S5–22.
4. Kelly MC, Beers HT, Huss BK, Gilliland HM. Bilateral ilioinguinal nerve blocks for analgesia after total abdominal hysterectomy. *Anaesthesia* 1996;51(4):406.
5. Bell EA, Jones BP, Olufolabi AJ, Dexter F, Phillips-Bute B, Greengrass RA, Penning DH, Reynolds JD; Duke Women's Anesthesia Research Group. Iliohypogastric-ilioinguinal peripheral nerve block for post-Cesarean delivery analgesia decreases morphine use but not opioid-related side effects. *Can J Anaesth* 2002;49(7):694-700.
6. Ke RW, Portera SG, Lincoln SR. A randomized blinded trial of preemptive local anesthesia in laparoscopy. *Prim Care Update Ob Gyns* 1998;5(4):197-198.
7. Edgington ES. *Randomization Tests*. CRC Press, Boca Raton (1995):86.

8. Keegan JJ, Garrett FD. The segmental distribution of the cutaneous nerves in the limbs of man. *Anat Rec* 1948;102(4):409-37.
9. Johnson N, Onwude JL, Player J, Hicks N, Yates A, Bryce F, *et al.* Pain after laparoscopy: an observational study and a randomized trial of local anesthetic. *J Gynecol Surg* 1994;10(3):129-38.
10. Pellicano M, Zullo F, Di Carlo C, Zupi E, Nappi C. Postoperative pain control after microlaparoscopy in patients with infertility: a prospective randomized study. *Fertil Steril* 1998;70(2):289-92.
11. Lam KW, Pun TC, Ng EH, Wong KS. Efficacy of preemptive analgesia for wound pain after laparoscopic operations in infertile women: a randomised, double-blind and placebo control study. *BJOG*. 2004;111(4):340-4.
12. Tverskoy M, Cozacov C, Ayache M, Bradley EL Jr, Kissin I. Postoperative pain after inguinal herniorrhaphy with different types of anesthesia. *Anesth Analg* 1990; 70(1):29-35.
13. Saleh A, Fox G, Felemban A, Guerra C, Tulandi T. Effects of local bupivacaine instillation on pain after laparoscopy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 2001;8(2):203-6.
14. Hannibal K, Galatius H, Hansen A, Obel E, Ejlersen E. Preoperative wound infiltration with bupivacaine reduces early and late opioid requirement after hysterectomy. *Anesth Analg* 1996;83(2):376-81.
15. Mixter CG 3rd, Hackett TR. Preemptive analgesia in the laparoscopic patient. *Surg Endosc* 1997;11(4):351-3.

16. Michaloliakou C, Chung F, Sharma S. Preoperative multimodal analgesia facilitates recovery after ambulatory laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Anesth Analg* 1996;82(1):44-51.
17. Harrison CA, Morris S, Harvey JS. Effect of ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block and wound infiltration with 0.5% bupivacaine on postoperative pain after hernia repair. *Br J Anaesth* 1994;72(6):691-3.
18. Oriola F, Toque Y, Mary A, Gagneur O, Beloucif S, Dupont H. Bilateral ilioinguinal nerve block decreases morphine consumption in female patients undergoing nonlaparoscopic gynecologic surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2007;104(3):731-4.
19. Nehra D, Gemmell L, Pye JK. Pain relief after inguinal hernia repair: a randomized double-blind study. *Br J Surg* 1995;82(9):1245-7.
20. Woolf CJ, Chong MS. Preemptive analgesia-treating postoperative pain by preventing the establishment of central sensitization. *Anesth Analg* 1993;77:362-79.
21. Toivonen J, Permi J, Rosenberg PH. Effect of preincisional ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block on postoperative analgesic requirement in day-surgery patients undergoing herniorrhaphy under spinal anaesthesia. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2001;45(5):603-7.
22. Ejlersen E, Andersen HB, Eliassen K, Mogensen T. A comparison between preincisional and postincisional lidocaine infiltration and postoperative pain. *Anesth Analg* 1992;74(4):495-8.

23. Huffnagle HJ, Norris MC, Leighton BL, Arkoosh VA. Ilioinguinal iliohypogastric nerve blocks--before or after cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia? *Anesth Analg* 1996;82(1):8-12.
24. Dierking GW, Dahl JB, Kanstrup J, Dahl A, Kehlet H. Effect of pre- vs postoperative inguinal field block on postoperative pain after herniorrhaphy. *Br J Anaesth* 1992;68(4):344-8.
25. Ganta R, Samra SK, Maddineni VR, Furness G. Comparison of the effectiveness of bilateral ilioinguinal nerve block and wound infiltration for postoperative analgesia after caesarean section. *Br J Anaesth* 1994;72(2):229-30.
26. Aida S, Baba H, Yamakura T, Taga K, Fukuda S, Shimoji K. The effectiveness of preemptive analgesia varies according to the type of surgery: a randomized, double-blind study. *Anesth Analg* 1999;89(3):711-6.

Table 1. Distribution of preoperative indications for surgery among patients randomized either to ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric nerve block (Group I) or saline control (Group II).

	IINB Group I <i>n</i> =28	Saline/controls Group II <i>n</i> =32
Leiomyoma	14 (50)	18 (56.3)
Adenomyosis	2 (7.1)	6 (18.8)
Endometriosis	2 (7.1)	4 (12.5)
Ovarian cyst	1 (3.6)	1 (3.1)
Cervical carcinoma	3 (10.7)	1 (3.1)
Endometrial hyperplasia/carcinoma	2 (7.1)	1 (3.1)
CPP/DUB	5 (17.9)	1 (3.1)

Note: Data presented as patient number and (%). CPP/DUB = chronic pelvic pain/dysfunctional bleeding. Totals exceed number enrolled because some patients had multiple pre-operative diagnoses.

Table 2. Comparison of selected clinical features and perioperative characteristics among patients randomized to ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric nerve block (Group I) or saline control (Group II).

	Group I (<i>n</i> =28)	Group II (<i>n</i> =32)	<i>p</i> ¹
Age (yrs)	43.6±8.4	39.9±6.9	0.06
BMI ²	29.6±6.2	31.0±5.8	0.39
ASA class ³	1.8±0.4	1.9±0.5	0.37
Duration of surgery (min)	109.5±44.2	106.2±44.9	0.77
PCA ⁴ use (h)	27.4±6.5	25.0±4.2	0.09
Oral analgesic start time (h)	23.3±3.6	22.8±5.9	0.73
LOS ⁵ (h)	48.5±13.2	49.4±16.6	0.81

Notes: All data reported as mean ± SD; min=minutes, h=hours,

¹by Student's *t*-test ²body mass index (kg/m²) ³American Society of Anesthesiologists class [as prognostic measure of perioperative morbidity] ⁴patient-controlled analgesia ⁵length of stay.

Table 3. Mean scores depicting post-operative pain intensity as measured by a visual analogue score recorded by nurses from patients randomized to ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric nerve block (Group I) or saline control (Group II).

<i>t</i> (h)	Group I (<i>n</i> =28)	Group II (<i>n</i> =32)	<i>p</i> ¹
2	4.67	5.17	0.51
4	3.64	3.60	0.95
6	3.66	3.01	0.38
8	2.62	2.71	0.89
10	2.36	2.70	0.55
12	2.24	2.26	0.96
14	1.94	1.93	0.98
16	1.48	2.06	0.26
18	1.82	1.69	0.80
20	1.63	1.69	0.90
22	1.63	2.36	0.26
24	1.86	2.25	0.53

Notes: *t* (h) = hours after surgery ¹by Student's *t*-test.

Table 4. Summary of post-operative morphine use (bolus and PCA dosing) among patients randomized to ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric nerve block (Group I) or saline control (Group II).

	Group I (<i>n</i> =28)	Group II (<i>n</i> =32)	<i>p</i> ¹
PACU MSO ₄ bolus	7.8±3.7	8.4±3.7	0.52
MSO ₄ via PCA (total)	47.3±25.8	45.9±34	0.85
MSO ₄ via PCA (first 24h)	41.7±19.6	42.5±34.8	0.91
initial 8h	20.9±10.5	20.4±13.9	0.88
8-16h	10.7±7.4	11.0±14.3	0.93
16-24h	10.1±7.1	11.7±21.2	0.53
MSO ₄ via PCA (>24h)	5.8±9.1	2.2±4.8	0.06

Notes: All data reported as mean ± SD (mg); PACU = post-anesthesia recovery unit, MSO₄ = morphine sulfate ¹by Student's *t*-test.

Table 5. Comparison of overall pain control effectiveness and selected analgesia-associated symptoms measured preoperatively and at various intervals after surgery among patients randomized to ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric nerve block (Group I) or saline control (Group II).

		Group I (n=28)	Group II (n=32)	<i>p</i> ¹
Pruritus	<i>t</i> =0	1.00	1.06	0.35
	PACU	1.00	1.00	1.00
	6h	1.17	1.12	0.56
	24h	1.25	1.15	0.37
	48h	1.03	1.03	0.92
Nausea/emesis	<i>t</i> =0	1.00	1.03	0.35
	PACU	1.03	1.18	0.06
	6h	1.25	1.25	1.00
	24h	1.17	1.34	0.15
	48h	1.03	1.12	0.21
Overall satisfaction	<i>t</i> =0	1.03	1.09	0.37
	PACU	1.57	1.46	0.43
	6h	1.10	1.12	0.83
	24h	1.03	1.06	0.64
	48h	1.03	1.00	0.28

Notes: All data tabulated as mean (1=not present; 2=present [for pruritus and nausea/emesis], 1=satisfied; 2=not satisfied [for overall satisfaction]); *t*=0 is 'preoperative', PACU = post-anesthesia recovery unit, h = hours after surgery ¹by Student's *t*-test

Figure legend

Patient allocation schematic for randomized, placebo-controlled trial of ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric nerve block (IINB).




Figure 1